

Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.



"Perceptions of the biggest brands in FMCG sectors tend to be fairly secure and steady, suggesting that consumers have a mindset about familiar brands that rarely wavers. However, in other more dynamic markets there is less of a bias towards heritage brands, allowing new entrants to compete with established brands almost immediately."
– Richard Hopping, Brand and Household Analyst

# This report looks at the following areas:

The same brands tend to dominate across many different brand metrics, highlighting the influence and importance of the brands that people tend to use on a regular basis. The brands that people are familiar with are able to demonstrate what they can offer through regular contact, and as a result are looked upon more favourably.

For this reason, the major brands in many individual FMCG (Fast-Moving Consumer Goods) sectors look set to stay on top of the tree, with consumer opinions unwavering. However, in more dynamic, innovative sectors, and sectors without brands that benefit from a strong heritage (such as technology, finance, fashion and foodservice), things may be more fluid, leaving space for new brand leaders to emerge.

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW

VISIT: store.mintel.com

**CALL:** EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533

> Brazil 0800 095 9094

Americas +1 (312) 943 5250

China +86 (21) 6032 7300

<sub>АРАС</sub> +61 (0) 2 8284 8100

**EMAIL:** reports@mintel.com

**DID YOU KNOW?** 

This report is part of a series of reports, produced to provide you with a more holistic view of this market



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

# **Table of Contents**

#### Overview

What you need to know

Products covered in this report

#### Executive Summary

#### Market leaders dominate on usage

Figure 1: Top brands by overall usage, January 2014-October 2016

#### Functional, familiar brands more capable of generating trust

Figure 2: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that I trust", January 2014-October 2016

#### Most differentiated brands skewed towards premium brands

Figure 3: Top brands by perceived differentiation (net of agreement with "It stands out as being somewhat different from other brands" and "It's a unique brand which really stands out from other brands"), January 2014-October 2016

# Premium brands often more likely to satisfy

Figure 4: Top brands by proportion of positive endorsements among users (net of "good" and "excellent" experience), January 2014-October 2016

# Household staples earn most preference

Figure 5: Top brands by brand commitment (net of "I prefer this brand over others" and "This is a favourite brand"), January 2014-October 2016

#### Brands need the chance to demonstrate quality

Figure 6: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that has consistently high quality", January 2014-October 2016

#### Technology is a rapidly changing sector

Figure 7: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that is innovative", January 2014-October 2016

#### Niche beauty brands able to convey ethical messaging

Figure 8: Top brands by agreement with "Ethical", January 2014-October 2016

#### What we think

#### **Brand Overview – What You Need to Know**

FMCG and retailers dominate usage but digital shift evident

#### Functional, familiar brands more capable of generating trust

Different ways to promote a unique image

#### Premium brands often more likely to satisfy

Household staples earn most preference

# **Brand Usage**

#### Market leaders enjoy high usage

Figure 9: Top brands by overall usage, January 2014-October 2016

#### Google and Microsoft shake things up

Figure 10: Top brands by usage in the last 12 months, January 2014-October 2016

# Technology brands further increasing involvement in lifestyles

Figure 11: Top brands by usage described as "All the time", January 2014-October 2016

## **Brand Trust**

Usage helps to boost trust

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



# Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 12: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that I trust", January 2014-October 2016

Amazon goes from strength to strength

NIVEA Sun benefits from brand name and functionality

Technology influence apparent

### Brand Differentiation

#### Premium brands considered to stand out

Figure 13: Top brands by perceived differentiation (net of agreement with "It stands out as being somewhat different from other brands" and "It's a unique brand which really stands out from other brands"), January 2014-October 2016

# eBay and IKEA offer something different

#### Unique brands more skewed towards premium

Figure 14: Top brands by agreement with "It's a unique brand which really stands out from other brands", January 2014-October 2016

#### **Brand Satisfaction and Recommendation**

#### Premium brands most likely to satisfy

#### Amazon comes out on top

Figure 15: Top brands by proportion of positive endorsements among users (net of "Good" and "Excellent" experience), January 2014-October 2016 Figure 16: Top brands by proportion of excellent reviews, January 2014-October 2016

#### More functional brands likely to earn recommendation

Figure 17: Top brands by proportion of users who would recommend the brand, January 2014-October 2016

#### Clear pattern between satisfaction and recommendation

Figure 18: Proportion of users who would recommend the brand, by proportion of users who describe their experience as "Excellent" or "Good", January 2014–October 2016

#### **Brand Preference**

### Amazon's strong all-round performance promotes commitment

Figure 19: Top brands by brand commitment (net of "I prefer this brand over others" and "This is a favourite brand"), January 2014-October 2016

#### Preference built on strong foundations

#### Usage is potentially most important factor

#### **Quality and Reputation**

#### Market leaders have a perception of quality

Figure 20: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that has consistently high quality", January 2014-October 2016

#### Quality and reputation interlinked

Figure 21: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that has a good reputation", January 2014-October 2016

Figure 22: Agreement with "A brand that has consistently high quality", by agreement with "A brand that has a good reputation", January 2014-October 2016

#### Innovation

#### Tech brands dominate perception of innovation

Figure 23: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that is innovative", January 2014-October 2016

#### Müller Corner and Twinings represent FMCG brands

# **Customer Service**

#### Still a need for traditional customer service

Figure 24: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that has great customer service", January 2014-October 2016

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

# Value

#### Value not necessarily about price

Figure 25: Top brands by agreement with "A brand that offers good value", January 2014-October 2016

#### Retailers easier to judge than products

#### Ethics

#### Niche beauty brands among the most ethical

Figure 26: Top brands by agreement with "Ethical", January 2014-October 2016

#### Ecover considered a leader in perceived ethicality

Other initiatives promote ethical image

#### Sector Review – What You Need To Know

FMCG sectors follow a similar pattern

Fallout of financial services crash still impacting brands

Amazon dominates retail sector

Streaming brands upsetting the apple cart

Consumers becoming more aligned with tech brands

#### Automotive

#### Ford leads automotive sector on usage metrics

Figure 27: Brand usage metrics for the automotive sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Exclusive brands tend to fare best

Ford's position of strength likely to continue

#### The AA's functionality may assist with recommendation

Figure 28: Key brand metrics for the automotive sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Lack of engagement results in lower scores across sector

Figure 29: Brand attitudes in the automotive sector, January 2014-October 2016

# **Beauty and Personal Care**

#### Colgate leads on usage in fragmented BPC sector

Figure 30: Brand usage metrics for the BPC sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Colgate's familiarity translates into preference

Chanel's glamour creates differentiated image

#### More functional brands create more recommendation

Figure 31: Key brand metrics for the BPC sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Colgate has particularly strong image

#### The Body Shop and Green People have ethical associations

Figure 32: Brand attitudes in the BPC sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Drink

#### CSD brands enjoy high usage in drinks sector

Figure 33: Brand usage metrics for the drink sector, January 2014-October 2016

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



# Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Recognised drinks brands dominate key metrics

#### Premium alcohol brands generate satisfaction

Figure 34: Key brand metrics for the drink sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Innocent known for innovating and ethics

Figure 35: Brand attitudes in the drink sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Fashion

## Exclusivity means lower usage in fashion sector

#### Nike and adidas closely matched

Figure 36: Brand usage metrics for the fashion sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Exclusivity also impacts on brand preference

#### Aspirational, luxury element boosts other traits

Figure 37: Key brand metrics for the fashion sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Quality and status of fashion sector evident

Figure 38: Brand attitudes in the fashion sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Finance

#### Post Office most likely to have been used in finance

#### Barclays most likely to be regularly engaged with

Figure 39: Brand usage metrics for the financial services sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### American Express stands out

## Nationwide's building society status benefits its image

#### Non-traditional brands go beyond expectations

Figure 40: Key brand metrics for the financial services sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Detached brands avoid recession hangover

Figure 41: Brand attitudes in the financial services sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Food

# Heinz most eaten brand in food sector

Figure 42: Brand usage metrics for the food sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Magnum's treat offering helps to boost satisfaction

#### Consumers loyal to Heinz

Figure 43: Key brand metrics for the food sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Heinz dominates apart from on ethics

#### Müller Corner most likely to be seen as innovative

Figure 44: Brand attitudes in the food sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Foodservice

# McDonald's convenient image boosts usage in foodservice sector

Figure 45: Brand usage metrics for the foodservice sector, January 2014-October 2016

# McDonald's likely to keep advantage

# YO! Sushi's offering creates differentiation

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



# Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Recommendation likely to be influenced by accessibility

Figure 46: Key brand metrics for the foodservice sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Established brands have all-round strength

# Pret A Manger's ethical initiatives pay dividends

Figure 47: Brand attitudes in the foodservice sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### **Household Care**

## Functionality of household sector creates usage

Figure 48: Brand usage metrics for the household care sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Household sector gains brand preference

Yankee Candle growth reflected in high satisfaction

### Dyson seen as particularly different

Figure 49: Key brand metrics for the household care sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Fairy dominates but Ecover leads on ethics

Figure 50: Brand attitudes in the household care sector, January 2014-October 2016

## Media

# Daily Mail most read media title

Figure 51: Brand usage metrics for the media sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Men's Health benefits from straightforward offering

Figure 52: Key brand metrics for the media sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Media brands viewed with suspicion

# Good Housekeeping benefits from all-round image

#### Vogue's fashion focus leads to quality, innovative image

#### The Guardian leads on ethics

Figure 53: Brand attitudes in the media sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Retail

#### Amazon dominates retail arena

Figure 54: Brand usage metrics for the retail sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Amazon seeks to increase touchpoints

Figure 55: Key brand metrics for the retail sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Lush dominates ethical space

# Mothercare offers care to select group of consumers Figure 56: Brand attitudes in the retail sector, January 2014-October 2016

# **Technology Products**

#### Google and Microsoft most used tech brands

Figure 57: Brand usage metrics for the technology product sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Apple enthuses users but Samsung more likely to be recommended

# Google's favouritism bodes well for expansion into other categories

Figure 58: Key brand metrics for the technology product sector, January 2014-October 2016

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



# Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Apple's innovative element is evident

#### Samsung's combination of quality and affordability creates positive image

Figure 59: Brand attitudes in the technology product sector, January 2014-October 2016

## Technology Service Providers

# Established tech service providers brands create more usage in

Figure 60: Brand usage metrics for the technology service providers sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Sky maintains preference but faces pressure from new competitors

Figure 61: Key brand metrics for the technology service provider sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Netflix outscores brands in tech service provider sector

#### Sky still maintains innovative edge

Figure 62: Brand attitudes in the technology service provider sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Travel

# Travel brands struggle to create usage opportunities

Figure 63: Brand usage metrics for the travel sector, January 2014-October 2016

Full-service airlines seen in favourable light

#### Premier Inn most likely to be recommended

Figure 64: Key brand metrics for the travel sector, January 2014-October 2016

#### Positive brand image does not always translate into usage advantage

Figure 65: Brand attitudes in the travel sector, January 2014-October 2016

# Brand Leaders – What You Need to Know

Amazon is archetypal brand leader

Consumers are used to Heinz's success

Fairy meets consumer needs

Colgate is moving with the times

Google inspires confidence

Samsung's strong brand image may be at risk

British Airways' national roots provide a benefit

#### Amazon

#### Amazon dominates brand attributes

#### Amazon image is steadfast

Figure 66: Consumer agreement with selected attributes in relation to Amazon, March 2012–June 2016

#### Amazon constantly innovating

#### Amazon set to fly

#### Satisfaction remains high

Figure 67: Proportion of positive endorsements (net of "good" and "excellent" responses) and proportion of excellent responses for Amazon, March 2012-June 2016

#### Heinz

Heinz's heritage in different categories boosts exposure

Consumers familiar with Heinz as a market leader

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



# Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 68: Value sales of Heinz in the Soup, Tables Sauces and Pasta categories, 2015

### Consistent NPD ensures an innovative image too

Figure 69: New product launches by Heinz, by launch type, 2011-15

# Fairy

# Fairy image built on years of heritage

Figure 70: Selected attributes for Fairy, January 2013-February 2016

Different consumer mindset means second place in machine segment

#### Colgate

#### Colgate offers reliability and comfort

Market share translates into brand image

Competitors can compete on expertise, but not heritage

# Colgate keeps pace as technology changes

Figure 71: Agreement with "A brand that is innovative" for Colgate and Oral-B, November 2011-April 2016

## Colgate's accessibility promotes strong image

Figure 72: Usage of selected oral care brands, by household income, April 2016 and March 2015\*

# Google

#### Digital lifestyles influence Google's success

#### Traditional influencers still apply

#### Google inspires confidence

Figure 73: Agreement with selected attributes for Google, June 2016

#### Google unaffected by tax issues

Figure 74: Topic cloud around mentions of Google, January-October 2016

#### Samsung

#### Samsung is a well-rounded brand

#### Impact of a difficult 2016 yet to be discovered

Figure 75: Proportion of online conversation around the Samsung brand, January 2012-October 2016

Figure 76: Topic cloud around Samsung mentions, January 2012-October 2016

#### Samsung image has been improving

Figure 77: Key brand metrics for Samsung, November 2011-June 2016

Figure 78: Selected brand attributes for Samsung, November 2011-June 2016

### Mobile device ownership a huge boon to Samsung

Figure 79: Ownership of mobile phones, January 2012-July 2016

#### Samsung in unprecedented waters

#### **British Airways**

#### British links boost BA's brand image

Figure 80: Agreement with selected attributes for British Airways, July 2016

Long- and short-haul flights promotes quality and accessibility

## British Airways image remains steady

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW



# Report Price: £2195.00 | \$2740.46 | €2590.10

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 81: Selected attributes of British Airways, May 2012- July 2016

#### Safety a potentially important attribute to have

Figure 82: Proportion of all online conversation surrounding British Airways, January 2012-October 2016

# Challenger Brands – What You Need to Know

Netflix makes an immediate impression

Dyson's innovation opens up opportunities

First Direct leads on excellent reviews

### Netflix

Netflix makes a splash

#### TV viewing habits shifting

Figure 83: Media purchases of TV and streaming services, June 2015 and June 2016

#### Netflix suits a younger audience

Figure 84: Usage of Netflix and Sky, by age group, January 2016

### Netflix has a cost advantage

#### Online conversation guided by content

Figure 85: Proportion of online conversation around Netflix, January 2012-October 2016

Figure 86: Topic cloud around Netflix, January 2012-October 2016

#### Clarkson poses a threat

#### Dyson

#### Dyson noted for innovation

Figure 87: Agreement with selected attributes for Dyson, July 2015

#### Haircare represents a new area of focus

#### New Dyson store launches

Figure 88: Dyson's Oxford Street store, July 2016

#### Sir James Dyson acts as a figurehead

Figure 89: Online mentions of Dyson, January 2012-October 2016

#### Influence of James Dyson may be set to increase

#### **First Direct**

#### First Direct's proportion of excellent reviews sets standard

#### A challenge to break into mainstream

Figure 90: Top brands by agreement with "excellent" in the financial services sector, July 2014-May 2016

#### Customer service offers opportunities but also drawbacks

Figure 91: Most important qualities when choosing a provider - 'Any selected', August 2016

#### More openness to branchless banking

# Appendix – Data Sources, Abbreviations and Supporting Information

#### Abbreviations

#### Appendix – Brands Covered

# BUY THIS REPORT NOW