

Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.



"How consumers judge the ethics of brands often differs dramatically from the how they judge specific brands they that know and use. Many factors aside from ethics come into play when people decide which brand to use, and ethics can sometimes be overlooked if other aspects of the brand can deliver a superior experience to competitors."

— Richard Hopping, Brand and Household Analyst

This report looks at the following areas:

- Low perceived ethics does not always equal unethical
- · No defined link between ethics and awareness
- · Other factors important when making a purchase
- Consumers reluctant to align with brands without ethical image
- Link between ethics and value is blurred

When it comes to ethics, the way people judge non-specific brands and companies can often be very different to the way they judge the brands that they use on a regular basis or their favourite brands, suggesting a disconnect between idealistic views and those influenced by other real-life factors.

Given the choice of two identical brands offering the same product or service at exactly the same price, it is likely that consumers will choose to use the one they consider more ethical. However, few things are ever that simple, and once other factors come into play, consumers are not always as keen to choose the more ethical option.

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533

> Brazil 0800 095 9094

Americas +1 (312) 943 5250

+86 (21) 6032 7300

APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100

EMAIL: reports@mintel.com

DID YOU KNOW?

This report is part of a series of reports, produced to provide you with a more holistic view of this market



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Overview

What you need to know

Products covered in this Report

Executive Summary

Ecover seen as most ethical brand

Figure 1: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical", January 2014-July 2016

Loan brands and tabloid newspapers struggle

Figure 2: Brands with lowest agreement with "ethical", January 2014-July 2016

Low perceived ethics does not always equal unethical

Figure 3: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "unethical", January 2014-July 2016

No defined link between ethics and awareness

Figure 4: Agreement with "ethical", by brand awareness, January 2014-July 2016

Other factors important when making a purchase

Figure 5: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users, January 2014-July 2016

Consumers reluctant to align with brands without ethical image

Figure 6: Agreement with "ethical", by brand commitment (net of "I prefer this brand over others" and "This is a favourite brand"), January 2014-July 2016

Link between ethics and value is blurred

Figure 7: Agreement with "ethical", by agreement with the statement "A brand that offers good value", January 2014-July 2016

What we think

Ethical Brand Overview - What You Need to Know

Ecover considered ethical by highest proportion of consumers

Loan and newspaper brands among those least likely to be described as ethical

Low ethics does not always mean unethical

Most Ethical Brands

Ecover considered ethical by the highest proportion of consumers

BPC brands comprise majority of the highest ranked brands

Figure 8: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical", January 2014-July 2016

Just two food and drink brands feature

Brands that Lack Ethical Perceptions

Loan brands and tabloid newspapers struggle

Figure 9: Brands with lowest agreement with "ethical", January 2014-July 2016

Low perceived ethics does not always equal unethical

Figure 10: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "unethical", January 2014-July 2016

Other Ethical Associations

Ecover again performs strongly



VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533 | Brazil 0800 095 9094

APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 11: Top ranking of brands by agreement with the statement "A brand that is socially responsible", January 2014-July 2016

Brands with a focus on care and attention seen as socially responsible

Ecover and e-cloth noted for environmental image

Figure 12: Top ranking of brands by agreement with the statement "A brand that cares for the environment", January 2014-July 2016

Sector Overview - What You Need to Know

Automotive sector likely to be relatively unaffected by emissions scandal

Niche BPC brands able to build strong ethical image

Tea and coffee brands top ethical drinks

Individual brands within finance sector able to outscore brand average

Food brands benefit from fair trade and organic opportunities

Lush stands out within the retail sector

Automotive

Automotive emissions scandal impacts brand perceptions

Figure 13: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the automotive sector, January 2014-July 2016

The lower impact of ethics in automotive categories

Beauty and Personal Care

BPC brands among the most ethical

Figure 14: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the BPC sector, January 2014-July 2016

Several niche BPC brands feature

Drink

Tea and coffee brands dominate in the drinks sector

Figure 15: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the drink sector, January 2014-July 2016

Figure 16: Kenco Coffee vs Gangs, June 2015

MNC ownership does not affect image of Innocent or Copella

Ethics of greater consideration when buying drink

Figure 17: Ethical consideration taken into account, by retail sector, May 2015

Figure 18: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users, among drink brands, January 2014-July 2016

Fashion

UK production gives The Cambridge Satchel Company the edge

Figure 19: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the fashion sector, January 2014-July 2016

Fashion brands benefit from desirability

Finance

Finance category has a bad reputation

Figure 20: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the finance sector, January 2014-July 2016

Co-operative Bank maintains ethical image despite difficult period

Brands benefit from distance with financial services stereotype

Building societies have advantage over other financial brands

BUY THIS REPORT NOW VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533 | Brazil 0800 095 9094

APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 21: Types of companies perceived to be the most and least socially responsible by consumers, August 2015

Performance is ultimately what matters to most

Food

Green & Black's leads the food category

Figure 22: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the food sector, January 2014-July 2016

Healthiness may be an unconscious factor...

Figure 23: Agreement with "ethical", by agreement with "healthy", January 2014-July 2016

...But healthiness is not always a guarantee of ethical image

Ethics does not necessarily drive usage

Figure 24: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of usage, within food brands, January 2014-July 2016

Foodservice

Pret A Manger stands out in foodservice sector

Figure 25: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the foodservice sector, January 2014-July 2016

Byron likely to be affected by recent events

Figure 26: Proportion of total online conversation featuring #BoycottByron, 1 July-18 August 2016

Consumer cynicism over bigger restaurant chains

Coffee outlets benefit from image of coffee brands

Household Care

Environmental emphasis boosts ethical image of household care brands

Figure 27: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the household care sector, January 2014-July 2016

Media

Hacking scandal influences ethics in the category

Figure 28: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the print media sector, January 2014-July 2016

Magazines' tailored content more likely to be seen as ethical

Retail

Lush stands out in retail

Figure 29: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the retail sector, January 2014-July 2016

Sign of a link between expense and ethics

Figure 30: Agreement with "ethical", by agreement with "A brand that offers good value" among retailers, January 2014-July 2016

Amazon still features despite negative coverage

Clothing retailers struggle to build ethical profile

Link between retailer and own-label food ranges

Figure 31: Brand perceptions of retailer own-label food brands, August 2014

Technology Products

High profile brands seen as most ethical in tech

Figure 32: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the technology product sector, January 2014-July 2016

Technology Service Providers



VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533 | Brazil 0800 095 9094

APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100

EMAIL: reports@mintel.com



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Ethics less of a factor within tech service providers

Figure 33: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the technology service sector, January 2014-July 2016

Travel

Premium airlines considered most ethical among travel brands

Figure 34: Top ranking of brands by agreement with "ethical" in the travel sector, January 2014-July 2016

The Co-operative Travel lacks ethical appeal of sister retail brand

The Impact of Ethics - What You Need to Know

No defined link between ethics and awareness

Other factors important when making a purchase

Priorities likely to change after purchase has been made

Ethics has limited link with trust

Consumers reluctant to align with brands without ethical image

Smaller brands can use ethics to drive a point of difference

The Link between Ethics and Awareness and Usage

Niche brands have more concentrated ethical image

Figure 35: Agreement with "ethical", by brand awareness, January 2014-July 2016

Consumers claim to place stock in ethical brands

Figure 36: Ethical factors considered when shopping, May 2015

But ethics appears less of an influence within individual brands

Figure 37: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users, January 2014-July 2016

Exclusivity of brands with strong ethical image limits usage

Brands with low perception of ethics more likely to be affected

Figure 38: Agreement with ethical, by proportion of users who have used in the last year, January 2014-July 2016

The Link between Ethics and Satisfaction

Priorities likely to change after purchase has been made

Figure 39: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users who describe their experience as positive (net of "Good" or "Excellent"), January 2014-July 2016

Premium brands able to make up for lack of ethics

Figure 40: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users who describe their experience as "Excellent", January 2014-July 2016

Consumers less likely to recommend brands without ethical image

Brands with ethical image still need to prove their purpose

Figure 41: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users who would recommend the brand, January 2014-July 2016

The Link between Ethics and Trust

Ethics has limited link with trust

General cynicism towards ethics may have an impact

Figure 42: Agreement with "ethical", by agreement with "A brand that I trust", January 2014-July 2016

Perception of being unethical has stronger impact

Figure 43: Agreement with "unethical", by agreement with "A brand that I trust", January 2014-July 2016



VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533 | Brazil 0800 095 9094

APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100 | EMAIL: reports@mintel.com



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

The Link between Ethics and Brand Preference

Consumers reluctant to align with brands without ethical image

Figure 44: Agreement with "ethical", by brand commitment (net of "I prefer this brand over others" and "This is a favourite brand"), January 2014-July 2016

The Link between Ethics and Perceived Differentiation

Ethics provide a point of difference

Figure 45: Agreement with "ethical", by brand differentiation (Net of "It stands out as being somewhat different from other brands" and "It's a unique brand which really stands out from other brands"), January 2014-July 2016

The Link between Ethics and Perceived Value

Value defined on consumers' own terms

Link between ethics and value is blurred

Figure 46: Agreement with "ethical", by agreement with the statement "A brand that offers good value", January 2014-July 2016

Most ethical brands tend to score lower on perceived value

Figure 47: Agreement with "ethical", by agreement with the statement "A brand that offers good value", within food, food retailers and foodservice brands, January 2014-July 2016

Cross Category Review – What You Need to Know

Disconnect between idealistic view and real-life view

Big-name brands appear to escape negative tax-related coverage

Fair trade has an influence on whole coffee category

Natural and organic products have stronger ethical associations

British production can assist with ethical image

Pay and Working Conditions

A fair day's pay for a fair day's work

Sports Direct's pay and working conditions come under scrutiny

Figure 48: Online mentions of Sports Direct, and the proportion of mentions focusing on pay and working conditions, January 2012-July 2016

Peak of focus reached in December 2015

An example of disconnect between ideal view and realistic view

The National Living Wage

The impact of pay on perceived ethics

Figure 49: Proportion of consumers agreeing that brands accredited by The Living Wage Foundation are ethical, compared with sector average scores, January 2014-July 2016
Figure 50: Proportion of consumers agreeing that members of ETI are ethical, compared with sector average scores, January 2014-July 2016

Tax

Tax-avoiding brands manage to avoid strong unethical associations

Figure 51: Proportion of online brand conversation focused on taxes, selected companies only, January 2007-July 2016

Figure 52: Brand perceptions of brands that have received negative coverage around tax, October 2015-June 2016

The Fair Tax Mark

Figure 53: Online mentions of different ethical initiatives, January 2014-July 2016

Fair Trade

Fair trade is a clear, visible indicator of responsible production

Figure 54: Online mentions of fair trade, September 2008-July 2016



VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533 | Brazil 0800 095 9094

APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100 | EMAIL: reports@mintel.com



Report Price: £2195.00 | \$3554.69 | €2788.33

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Green & Black's and Clipper benefit from accreditation

Focus on fair trade coffee prompts other brands to behave ethically

Figure 55: Topic cloud around fair trade, September 2008-July 2016

Figure 56: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users, within coffee and coffee shop brands, May 2014-July 2016

Pattern between usage and ethics less obvious in chocolate brands

Figure 57: Agreement with "ethical", by proportion of users, within chocolate brands, February 2014-January 2016

Fair trade retailers

Figure 58: Perceived ethicality of food retailers, August 2015

Figure 59: Examples of retailer own-label launches with fair trade claims, May 2015-August 2016

Fair trade in BPC

Figure 60: Perceived ethicality of BPC brands featured on GNPD for product launches containing fair trade ingredients, January 2014-July 2016

Figure 61: Examples of BPC launches with fair trade claims, September 2011-August 2016

Natural and Organic

BPC and food the two main areas where organic is a factor

Figure 62: Topic cloud around organic, September 2008-July 2016

Majority of organic food brands considered more ethical than average

Figure 63: Brand perceptions of food and drink brands listed on Mintel GNPD as launching products with organic claims since 2011, January 2014-July 2016
Figure 64: Examples of food and drink launches with organic claims, October 2011-August 2016

Bottled water may face different expectations from consumers

Some organic BPC brands struggle to create above-average score for ethics

Figure 65: Brand perceptions of BPC brands listed on Mintel GNPD as launching products with organic claims since 2011, August

Figure 66: Examples of BPC launches with organic claims, January-June 2016

Expense is likely to be an issue

Retailers able to boost perception through products they stock

Figure 67: Brand perceptions of retailers listed on Mintel GNPD as launching products with organic claims since 2011, November

Brand Britain

A third consider British influence before buying

Figure 68: Agreement with "ethical" among selected brands with UK production focus, compared with sector averages, January 2014-July 2016

Investing in local communities

Figure 69: Agreement with "ethical" among selected brands with UK focus, compared with sector averages, January 2014-July 2016

Evidence that British or local pride boosts ethical associations

Appendix – Data Sources, Abbreviations and Supporting Information

Abbreviations

Appendix - Brands Covered



APAC +61 (0) 2 8284 8100