

Scope and Themes



"The specter of cord-cutting is omnipresent. Whenever churn turns up a few basis points, mainstream media float articles about the death of pay TV services. There are legitimate long-term concerns related to cord-cutting, but just as many might stem from the launch of branded smart TVs in 2012."

- Billy Hulkower, Senior Technology Analyst

In this report we answer the key questions:

- When will cord-cutting happen?
- What can the industry due to avoid cord-cutting?
- Is it really possible to police the internet?
- How can individual pay TV brands protect themselves?
- Why is DVR service at risk?

The pay TV industry is in a predicament. Capital expenditure on infrastructure and software is creating ultra high-speed internet service, multiroom and look-back DVR, new user interfaces, and enormous VOD libraries, features desired by the vast majority of subscribers. Simultaneously, the limited portion of the subscriber base interested in switching carriers (about 25% of subscribers) is focused almost solely on price points. With subscriptions at nearly all-time highs (about 84% of households), there is little room for growing new subscriptions. As such, growth will be based on ARPU increases, but it will be difficult to create these increases when providers are also offering fire-sale promotions in efforts to pick up dissatisfied subscribers from other providers.

This environment creates a scenario where pay TV providers will need to create much more complicated pricing structures

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0)207 778 7151

Americas +1 (312) 943 5250

APAC +61 (0)2 8284 8100

EMAIL: oxygen@mintel.com

DID YOU KNOW?

This report is part of a series of reports, produced to provide you with a more holistic view of this market.



Scope and Themes

that allow for bottom-of-the-barrel pricing to poach the subscribers of competitors, while also increasing ARPU among loyalists who want advanced services. This report aims to provide the data and actionable suggestions necessary to achieve this aim.

For the purposes of this report, pay TV is defined as a service that delivers video content to the consumer for viewing through a television set. Video signals can be transmitted through various technologies, which include coaxial cable, fiber optic cable, and satellite dish. The market analyzed herein covers the distribution of pay TV content but not the creation of the content itself. This report builds on the analysis presented in Mintel's *Televisions*—*U.S., January 2011*.

The report explores sales of four services to consumers: pay TV, home phone, home internet, and mobile internet, as well as the bundling of these services together. However, the primary subject of the report is television services. Sales data presented in this report includes sales of pay TV subscriptions only. Advertising revenue, VOD and PPV revenue, sales of internet service, and sales of home phone service are not presented.

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

VISIT: store.mintel.com



Contents

SCOPE AND THEMES What you need to know

Definition Data sources Sales data Consumer data Abbreviations and terms Abbreviations Terms **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** The market Sales growing now, but stagnation expected ahead Figure 1: Fan chart forecast of U.S. revenues of pay TV providers, at current prices, 2007-17 TV mature, internet shows room for growth Comcast leads across service sectors Figure 2: Video subscriptions, by company, Q1 2011 vs. Q1 2012 Telcos to carry market growth Figure 3: U.S. revenues of pay TV providers, segmented by type, 2010-12 Market factors Mobile and fixed-point broadband central to future bundles Increasing concern about costs collides with easy access to online content Figure 4: Reasons for not subscribing among those who cancelled service in past year, May 2012 Figure 5: Factors in carrier selection, May 2012 Switchers primarily motivated by lower prices Figure 6: Reasons for wanting to switch pay TV service, by age, May 2012 The consumer High-speed internet most desired feature of service Figure 7: Interest in pay TV features, by age, May 2012 In search of higher ARPU: Premium channels, DVR, and VOD on the rise What we think **ISSUES IN THE MARKET** When will cord-cutting happen? What can the industry due to avoid cord-cutting? Is it really possible to police the internet?

How can individual pay TV brands protect themselves?

Why is DVR service at risk?

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

ISIT: <u>store.mintel.com</u>



Contents

TREND APPLICATION

Experience is All

Figure 8: Reasons for wanting to switch service, by age, May 2012 *Make it Mine* Figure 9: Interest in selecting commercials, by age, May 2012

Figure 10: Interest in selecting commercials, by household income, May 2012

2015 trends

Brand Intervention

INSIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES

Segmentation based on interest in a la carte features

Catching up with premium TV series

Multicultural market still blossoming

Following cellular to prepaid service

Proprietary reality programming

Back to the future with voice-based customer service

MARKET SIZE AND FORECAST

Key points

Near-term growth, long-term stagnation

Sales and forecast of pay TV providers

Figure 11: Pay TV sales from video subscriptions, at current prices, 2007-17

Figure 12: Pay TV sales from video subscriptions, at inflation-adjusted prices, 2007-17

Fan chart forecast Figure 13: Fan chart forecast of pay TV sales from video subscriptions, at current prices, 2007-17

MARKET DRIVERS

Key points

Faster internet

Figure 14: Interest in pay TV features, May 2012

Figure 15: Interest in pay TV features, by age, May 2012

Figure 16: Interest in pay TV features, by household income, May 2012

Price primary driver for switching service

Figure 17: Reasons for wanting to switch pay TV service, by age, May 2012

Figure 18: Reasons for wanting to switch pay TV service, by reasons for wanting to switch pay TV service, May 2012

COMPETITIVE CONTEXT

Key points

OTT, over-the-air, stand-in for those who can't afford cable

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

ISIT: <u>store.mintel.com</u>



Contents

Figure 19: Reasons for not subscribing to pay TV among those who cancelled service in past year, May 2012

Figure 20: Reasons for not subscribing to pay TV, by age, May 2012

Online video

Figure 21: Ownership and intent to purchase PCs, tablets, and cellphones for use with televisions, August 2012

Figure 22: Connecting laptops and tablets to TVs, by age, June 2012

Limited differentiation leads to substantial promotion

Figure 23: Factors in pay TV carrier selection, May 2012

Middle-income, middle-age reach for promotions

Figure 24: Factors in pay TV carrier selection, by age, May 2012

Figure 25: Factors in pay TV carrier selection, by household income, May 2012

SEGMENT PERFORMANCE

Key points

Long, slow decline for cable continues

Figure 26: Pay TV sales of video subscriptions, segmented by type, 2010-12

Figure 27: Pay TV sales of video subscriptions, by segment, 2007-17

Rising ARPU may no longer keep cable sales humming

Figure 28: Pay TV sales of video subscriptions from cable companies, at current prices, 2007-17 Figure 29: Fan chart forecast of pay TV sales of video subscriptions from cable companies, at current prices, 2007-17

Figure 30: Cable subscribers for video, internet, and home phone, 2007-11

2012 possible peak for satellite sales

Figure 31: Pay TV sales of video subscriptions from satellite companies, at current prices, 2007-17 Figure 32: Fan chart forecast of pay TV sales of video subscriptions from satellite companies, at current prices, 2007-17

Telcos on the rise

Figure 33: Pay TV sales of video subscriptions from telcos, at current prices, 2007-17 Figure 34: Pay TV sales of video subscriptions from telcos at current prices, 2007-17

LEADING COMPANIES

Key points

Subscribership

Figure 35: Video subscriptions, by segment and company, Q1 2011 vs. Q1 2012

Brands gaining share offer promotions, not the lowest price

Figure 36: Attitudes to pricing, promotion, and commoditization, by current service provider, May 2012

Telcos seen as providing fastest internet

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

ISIT: <u>store.mintel.com</u>



Contents

Figure 37: Have or would like to have "fastest home internet," by current service provider, May 2012 One in four wants cellphone and TV service from same provider Figure 38: Have or would like to have "cellphone service from same company as TV service," by current service provider, May 2012 Share gainers perceived as carrying best in sports Figure 39: Have or would like to have "the most sports programming" and "most foreign language channels", by current service provider, May 2012 Share gainers perceived as providing remote DVR usage Figure 40: Have or would like to have select DVR functionality, by current service provider, May 2012 Figure 41: Have or would like to have VOD and TV based online content, by current service provider, May 2012 Pricing, programming, and bundling Comcast Xfinity DIRECTV DISH TWC AT&T Figure 42: Pricing and speed for AT&T internet service, October 2012 Verizon Anywhere and anytime programming: DVR and VOD service by carrier Comcast Xfinity DIRECTV DISH TWC Verizon AT&T INNOVATION AND INNOVATORS

DISH automates commercial hopping Aereo provides alternative to pay TV service FiOS, DIRECTV revamp UIs Partnerships with home video services DISH turns Blockbuster in to service for DISH subscribers only Figure 43: Dish network, "Blockbuster Movie Pass" internet ad, 2012 Verizon/Redbox create Redbox Instant Netflix anyone? Customer service: Innovations or cost-cuts?

MARKETING STRATEGIES

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

ISIT: store.mintel.com



Contents

Key points Overview Selling bundles Verizon FiOS pitches fiber optic Figure 44: Verizon "FiOS TV and Net" television ad, 2012 AT&T gets accepted to Julliard Figure 45: AT&T U-verse "Movers \$15/\$15" television ad, 2012 Century Link provides three-year guarantee Figure 46: Century link "no regrets" television ad, 2012 Promoting individual features Xfinity hawks VOD Figure 47: Comcast Cable/XFinity On Demand, "Bridesmaids now On Demand" television ad, 2012 Figure 48: Comcast Cable/XFinity On Demand, "American Reunion on XFinity On Demand" banner ad, 2012 Remote home automation through Verizon Figure 49: Verizon "Hectic" television ad, 2012 Blockbuster at home part of great Dish value Figure 50: Dish "rate hikes" television ad, 2012 SUBSCRIPTION STATUS Key points Mobile broadband on the rise Figure 51: Subscription to pay TV, home phone, and internet service, May 2012 Figure 52: Household subscribes to pay TV, by age, August 2011-March 2012 Cord-cutting still a phantom menace Figure 53: Subscription to pay TV, home phone, and internet, by age, May 2012 TV mature, internet shows room for growth Figure 54: Subscription to pay TV and home phone, by household income, May 2012 Figure 55: Subscription to home internet and mobile broadband, by household income, May 2012 Future plans for current services Majority sufficiently satisfied to stay put Figure 56: Future plans for current services, May 2012 Most age and income groups carry similar levels of interest in switching service Figure 57: Potential switchers for pay TV, home phone, home internet and wireless internet services, by age, May 2012 Figure 58: Potential switchers for pay TV, home phone, home internet and wireless internet, by household income, May 2012 Current service provider: Comcast leads in home and mobile internet

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

ISIT: <u>store.mintel.com</u>



Contents

Figure 59: Service providers used for pay TV, home phone, home internet and wireless internet, May 2012

Figure 60: Service providers used for pay TV, home phone, home internet and wireless internet, by household income, May 2012

Brands under consideration for change of service

Figure 61: Preferred pay (cable) TV service provider among potential switchers, by age, May 2012

BUNDLING

Key points

Bundling on the rise

Figure 62: Penetration of bundled home communication services, July 2008-March 2012

Figure 63: Penetration of bundled home communication services, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 64: Type of bundle subscription, double and triple plays, by age, May 2012

Figure 65: Type of bundle subscription, double and triple plays, by household income, May 2012

PREMIUM CHANNELS, DVR, VOD, AND PPV

Key points

Premium channels

Figure 66: Incidence of subscribing to the main premium movie channels, July 2008-March 2012 Figure 67: Incidence of subscribing to the main premium movie channels, by age, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 68: Incidence of subscribing to the main premium movie channels, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 69: Incidence of subscribing to the main premium movie channels, by number of people in household, August 2011-March 2012

The acronyms: DVR, VOD, PPV

Figure 70: DVR, VOD, and PPV penetration, July 2008-March 2012

Figure 71: DVR, VOD, and PPV penetration, by age, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 72: DVR, VOD, and PPV penetration, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 73: Type of DVR owned, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

IMPACT OF RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN

Key points

Multicultural groups last frontier

Figure 74: Subscription status, by race and Hispanic origin, August 2011-March 2012 Figure 75: Penetration of bundled services, by language spoken in home, August 2011-March 2012 *Possible gains in increasing DVR and premium channel subscriptions*

Figure 76: DVR penetration, by race and Hispanic origin, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 77: DVR penetration, by language spoken in home, August 2011-March 2012

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

ISIT: <u>store.mintel.com</u>



Contents

Figure 78: Incidence of subscribing to the main premium movie channels, by race and Hispanic origin, August 2011-March 2012

APPENDIX-OTHER USEFUL CONSUMER TABLES

Brands under consideration for switching service

Figure 79: Preferred provider, by potential switchers/plan acquirers, May 2012

Figure 80: Preferred provider among potential switchers/plan acquirers, by household income, May 2012

Digital cable subscription

Figure 81: Access to digital cable, household income, August 2011-March 2012

Reason for not subscribing

Figure 82: Reasons for not having Pay TV, by gender, May 2012

Spend on landline

Figure 83: Average monthly spend on landline, by household size, August 2011-March 2012 Figure 84: Average monthly spend on landline, by marital status and presence of children in household, August 2011-March 2012

Reason for changing service

Figure 85: Reasons for wanting to switch pay TV service, by household income, May 2012 *Subscription penetration in offline sample*

Figure 86: Subscribes to pay TV, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

APPENDIX-ADDITIONAL TABLES ON PPV/VOD

Figure 87: Types of VOD programs seen in past 12 months, July 2008-March 2012

Figure 88: Types of VOD programs seen in past 12 months, by age, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 89: Types of VOD programs seen in past 12 months, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 90: Types of PPV programs seen in past 12 months, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 91: Number of VOD programs seen in past 3 months, by age, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 92: Number of VOD programs seen in past three months, by household income, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 93: Number of PPV programs seen in past 12 months, July 2009-March 2012

APPENDIX-ADDITIONAL TABLES BY RACE/HISPANIC ORIGIN

Figure 94: Service provider, by race and Hispanic origin, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 95: Number of VOD programs seen in past three months, by race and Hispanic origin, August 2011-March 2012

Figure 96: Type of DVR owned, by race/Hispanic origin, August 2011-March 2012

APPENDIX-TRADE ASSOCIATIONS

BUY THIS

REPORT NOW

ISIT: <u>store.mintel.com</u>