

Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.



"Fears about the safety of some sweeteners continue to plague the sugar substitute segment, suggesting that synthetic sweetener brands still have more to do to settle concerns about potential negative side effects. Ads that transparently chronicle the origins of these products, their ingredients, and how they are produced may help diminish the aura of concern that sometimes surrounds them."
John N. Frank, Category Manager, Food & Drink

This report looks at the following areas:

- · Can sweetener brands assuage consumer fears about product safety?
- Will consumers go for sweeteners with added health benefits?
- Would flavored sugar/sugar substitutes take hold in the market?

The U.S. sugar and sweeteners market faces a series of challenges in 2013, mostly from high household penetration of sugar (the largest segment in the market, with nearly 50% share), which limits growth, as well as falling sugar prices due to surplus supplies and inexpensive sugar imports. This report covers these factors and provides in-depth analysis of the following:

- how well-publicized health conditions, such as obesity and diabetes, are linked to sugar, which may
 cause some consumers to avoid excess sugar intake
- the role of demographics in driving sales, from households with children and seniors to specific racial groups that report the highest usage of various sugar and sweetener products
- substantial sales growth of natural sugar substitutes, such as Truvia and Nectresse, and how they
 are cannibalizing other substitute sales
- consumer perception of sugar substitutes and how brands can overcome skepticism about the safety of these products
- · the impact of social media on the way brands market their products

This report also features analysis of the results of Mintel's exclusive consumer survey, including product usage; usage levels compared to a year ago; attitudes toward sweeteners and reasons for not using low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes; interest in sweetener products, and motivations for using them; and examination of the role of race/Hispanic origin in usage, behavior, and attitudes toward sugar and sweeteners. Analysis of the Experian Marketing Services National Consumer Survey is also featured.

BUY THIS REPORT NOW

VISIT: store.mintel.com

CALL: EMEA +44 (0) 20 7606 4533

> Brazil 0800 095 9094

Americas +1 (312) 943 5250

арас +61 (0) 2 8284 8100

EMAIL: oxygen@mintel.com

DID YOU KNOW?

This report is part of a series of reports, produced to provide you with a more holistic view of this market



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Scope and Themes

	What you need to know
	Definition
	Data sources
	Sales data
	Consumer survey data
	Abbreviations and terms
	Abbreviations
	Terms
E,	xecutive Summary

Executive Summary

A flatlining market due to declining sugar prices

Figure 1: Total U.S. sales and forecast of sugar and sweeteners, at current prices, 2008-18

Obesity and other sugar-linked health conditions negatively impact the market

Demographics play into sales

Sugar dominates among four segments

Diverse national brand companies cannot keep pace with private label

The consumer

Household penetration of sugar twice that of substitutes

Figure 2: Household usage of sugar, pancake and table syrups, and sugar substitutes/artificial sweeteners, January 2012-March 2013

Most use low-/no-calorie artificial sweeteners for concerns about weight

Figure 3: Reasons for using low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes, by gender, July 2013

A third of respondents are interested in all-natural products

Figure 4: Interest in sweetener products, by age, July 2013

A quarter do not use sweeteners because food/drinks already contain enough

Figure 5: Reasons for not adding sweeteners to food/dinks or using them in cooking/baking, July 2013

What we think

Issues and Insights

Can sweetener brands assuage consumer fears about product safety?

Issues

Insight: Face the issues head-on

Will consumers go for sweeteners with added health benefits?

Issues

Insight: Sweeteners as supplements

Would flavored sugar/sugar substitutes take hold in the market?

Issues

Insight: Replicating the café experience for home users

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Trend Applications

Trend: The Real Thing

Trend: Prove It

Mintel Futures: Old Gold

Market Size and Forecast

Key points

Market growth slows in 2012 and 2013

Sales and forecast of sugar and sweeteners

Figure 6: Total U.S. sales and forecast of sugar and sweeteners, at current prices, 2008-18

Figure 7: Total U.S. sales and forecast of sugar and sweeteners, at inflation-adjusted prices, 2008-18

Fan chart forecast

Figure 8: Total U.S. sales and fan chart forecast of sugar and sweeteners, at current prices, 2008-18

Market Drivers

Key points

Sugar commodity economics

Figure 9: Sugar commodity monthly price - U.S. cents per pound, July 2012-July 2013

Figure 10: Sugar retail monthly price - U.S. cents per pound, July 2012-July 2013

Health considerations may limit usage

Figure 11: Types of pancake and table syrups used in household, by age, January 2012-March 2013

Obesity rate

Households with kids more likely to use sugar and sweeteners

Figure 12: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink, by presence of children in household, July 2013

Seniors least likely to use sugar, very likely to use substitutes

Figure 13: Household usage of sugar, pancake and table syrups, and sugar substitutes/artificial sweeteners, by age, January 2012-March 2013

Blacks, Asians report highest use of sugar

Figure 14: Household usage of sugar, pancake and table syrups, and sugar substitutes/artificial sweeteners, by race/Hispanic origin, January 2012-March 2013

Competitive Context

Presweetened tea and coffee drinks eliminate need for added sweeteners

Sweet spreads and nut spreads used for a range of eating occasions

Segment Performance

Key points

Market depends heavily on sugar sales

Sales of sugar and sweeteners, by segment

Figure 15: Sales of sugar and sweeteners, segmented by type, 2011 and 2013

Segment Performance - Sugar

Key points

Sugar sales remains a staple pantry item

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Sales and forecast of sugar

Figure 16: Total U.S. sales and forecast of sugar, at current prices, 2008-18

Segment Performance – Syrups and Molasses

Key points

Brands widen the scope for maple syrup uses

Sales and forecast of syrups and molasses

Figure 17: Total U.S. sales and forecast of syrups and molasses, at current prices, 2008-18

Segment Performance – Sugar Substitutes

Key points

As consumer tastes shift to natural substitutes, sales lag slightly

Sales and forecast of sugar substitutes

Figure 18: Total U.S. sales and forecast of sugar substitutes, at current prices, 2008-18

Segment Performance – Honey

Key points

Honey represents a growth opportunity

Sales and forecast of honey

Figure 19: Total U.S. sales and forecast of honey, at current prices, 2008-18

Retail Channels

Key points

Supermarkets edge out other channels

Sales of sugar and sweeteners, by channel

Figure 20: U.S. retail sales of sugar and sweeteners, by channel, 2011 and 2013

Supermarket sales slip in 2012 and 2013

Figure 21: U.S. supermarket sales of sugar and sweeteners, 2008-13

Other channels

Figure 22: U.S. other channel sales of sugar and sweeteners, 2008-13

Retail Channels – Natural Supermarkets

Key points

Honey remains the sweet spot for natural retailers

Sales of sugar and sweeteners in the natural channel

Figure 23: Natural supermarket sales of sugar and sweeteners, at current prices, 2011-13*

Figure 24: Natural supermarket sales of sugar and sweeteners at inflation-adjusted prices, 2011-13*

Natural channel sales of sugar and sweeteners by segment.

Figure 25: Natural supermarket sales of sugar and sweeteners, by segment, 2011 and 2013*

Brands of note

Natural channel sales of sugar and sweeteners by organic

Figure 26: Natural supermarket sales of sugar and sweeteners, by organic, 2011 and 2013*

Natural channel sales of granulated cane sugar by fair-trade

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 27: Natural supermarket sales of granulated cane sugar, by fair-trade, 2011 and 2013*

Leading Companies

Key points

National brand companies hold small share compared to private label

Domino gains 1.8% while top competitor J&J declines 8.4%

Other players individually account for less than 5% of the market

Manufacturer sales of sugar and sweeteners

Figure 28: MULO manufacturer sales of sugar and sweeteners, 2012-13

Brand Share – Sugar

Key points

Private label accounts for nearly 60% of the segment

Domino and C&H register similar gains but comprise small share

Manufacturer sales of sugar

Figure 29: MULO brand sales of sugar, 2012-13

Brand Share - Syrup and Molasses

Key points

Aunt Jemima far outsells all other brands

Mrs. Butterworth's Original sold only at H-E-B

Karo is the only leading syrup made with corn

Hungry Jack innovates with microwaveable "stay-cool" handle

Maple Grove Farms is the lone real maple syrup among top brands

Private label comprises 29% share

Manufacturer sales of syrup and molasses

Figure 30: MULO brand sales of syrup and molasses, 2012-13

Brand Share – Sugar Substitutes

Key points

Splenda outsells other MULO brands by a large margin

Truvia sales growth attests to demand for natural substitutes

Sweet'N Low drops as Stevia Extract In The Raw gains

Equal declines 6.7%

Manufacturer sales of sugar substitutes

Figure 31: MULO brand sales of sugar substitutes, 2012-13

Brand Share – Honey

Key points

Private label has the biggest footprint in the honey segment

Sue Bee spurs 16.5% growth for Sioux Honey Association

Other honey brands individually comprise small share

Manufacturer sales of honey

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to

Sugar and Sweeteners - US - September 2013

Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

change due to currency fluctuations. Figure 32: MULO brand sales of honey, 2012-13 Innovations and Innovators All-natural and low-calorie products rank high among respondents Figure 33: Trends in sugar product claims, 2009-13 All-natural popular among sweetener users Blends offer the best of both worlds Green positioning attracts eco-conscious sweetener users Marketing Strategies Overview of the brand landscape Trend: Sugar substitute brands highlight natural halo Trend: Syrup brands leverage presence in pancake mix market Trend: Social media expands the presence of sweetener brands Mrs. Butterworth's Domino C&H Splenda Sue Bee TV presence Splenda Figure 34: Splenda television ad, 2013 Splenda Nectresse

Figure 35: Splenda Nectresse television ad, 2013

Sweet'N Low

Figure 36: Sweet'N Low television ad, 2013

Truvia

Figure 37: Truvia television ad, 2013

Social Media – Sugar and Sweeteners

Key points

Key social media metrics

Figure 38: Key brand metrics, sugar and sweeteners, August 2013

Market overview

Brand usage and awareness

Figure 39: Usage and awareness of selected sugar and/or sweetener brands, July 2013

Interaction with brands

Figure 40: Interaction with selected sugar and/or sweetener brands, July 2013

Online conversations

Figure 41: Online conversations on selected sugar and sweetener brands, by day Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Where are people talking about sugar and sweetener brands?

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 42: Online conversations on selected sugar and sweetener brands, by page type, Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

What are people talking about?

Figure 43: Types of conversations around selected sugar and sweetener brands, Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Figure 44: Types of conversations around selected sugar and sweetener brands, by day, Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Brand analysis

Splenda

Figure 45: Splenda key social media indicators, Aug. 2013

Key online campaigns

What we think

Aunt Jemima

Figure 46: Aunt Jemima key social media indicators, August 2013

Key online campaigns

What we think

Truvia

Figure 47: Truvia key social media indicators, August 2013

Key online campaigns

What we think

Mrs. Butterworth's

Figure 48: Mrs. Butterworth's key social media indicators, August 2013

Key online campaigns

What we think

Domino

Figure 49: Domino key social media indicators, August 2013

Key online campaigns

What we think

Florida Crystals

Figure 50: Florida Crystals key social media indicators, August 2013

Key online campaigns

What we think

Sugar and Sweetener Usage

Key points

Sweetener use is nearly universal

Figure 51: Usage of sugar and sweeteners, July 2013

Seniors report least usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink

Figure 52: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink, by age, July 2013

Women most apt to use sugar and honey in cooking/baking

Figure 53: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in baking/cooking, by gender, July 2013

18-24 most apt to use natural and synthetic substitutes in baking/cooking

Figure 54: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in baking/cooking, by age, July 2013

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Usage of Sugar and Sweeteners Compared to a Year Ago

Key points

More than a third are using more stevia than they were a year ago

Figure 55: Usage of sugar and sweeteners compared to a year ago, July 2013

Usage of Sugar and Sweeteners Away from Home

Key points

More than a quarter use low-/no-calorie substitutes away from home

Figure 56: Usage of sugar and sweeteners away from home, by gender, July 2013

55+ most likely to report bringing no sugar/sweeteners from home

Figure 57: Usage of sugar and sweeteners away from home, by age, July 2013

Households with children most apt to bring sugar/sweeteners from home

Figure 58: Usage of sugar and sweeteners away from home, by presence of children, July 2013

Reasons for Using Low-/no-calorie Sugar Substitutes

Key points

Calorie and weight concerns drive use of low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes

Figure 59: Reasons for using low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes , by gender, July 2013

55+ most concerned about calories

Figure 60: Reasons for using low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes, by age, July 2013

Least affluent most likely to want to avoid HFCS

Figure 61: Reasons for using low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes , by household income, July 2013

Interest in Sweetener Products

Key points

Nearly a third report interest in all-natural sugar substitutes

Figure 62: Interest in sweetener products, by age, July 2013

Households with kids report most interest in a range of sweetener products

Figure 63: Interest in sweetener products, by presence of children in household, July 2013

Reasons for Not Using Sugar and Sweeteners

Key points

A quarter do not use sweeteners because food/drinks already have enough

Figure 64: Reasons for not adding sweeteners to food/dinks or using them in cooking/baking, July 2013

Race and Hispanic Origin

Key points

Blacks report most likelihood to use sugar in food and drink

Figure 65: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink, by race/Hispanic origin, July 2013

Blacks and Hispanics most likely to use sugar and honey away from home

Figure 66: Usage of sugar and sweeteners away from home, by race/Hispanic origin, July 2013

Hispanics report using substitutes for a range of reasons

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 67: Reasons for using low-/no-calorie sugar substitutes , by race/Hispanic origin, July 2013

Blacks, Hispanics most interested vitamin/mineral-enriched sweeteners

Figure 68: Interest in sweetener products, by race/Hispanic origin, July 2013

Key Household Purchase Measures – Information Resources Inc. Builders Panel Data

Overview of sugar

White granulated sugar

Consumer insights on key purchase measures - white granulated sugar

Brand map

Figure 69: Brand map, selected brands of white granulated sugar buying rate, by household penetration, 2012*

Brand leader characteristics

Key purchase measures

Figure 70: Key purchase measures for the top brands of white granulated sugar, by household penetration, 2012*

Brown/flavored/powdered sugar

Consumer insights on key purchase measures - brown/flavored/powdered sugar

Brand map

Figure 71: Brand map, selected brands of brown/flavored/powdered sugar buying rate, by household penetration, 2012*

Brand leader characteristics

Key purchase measures

Figure 72: Key purchase measures for the top brands of brown/flavored/powdered sugar, by household penetration, 2012*

Sugar substitutes

Consumer insights on key purchase measures - sugar substitutes

Brand map

Figure 73: Brand map, selected brands of sugar substitutes buying rate, by household penetration, 2012*

Brand leader characteristics

Key purchase measures

Figure 74: Key purchase measures for the top brands of sugar substitutes, by household penetration, 2012*

Appendix - Market Drivers

Consumer confidence

Figure 75: University of Michigan's index of consumer sentiment (ICS), 2007-13

Unemployment

Figure 76: U.S. Unemployment Rate, by month, 2002-13

Figure 77: U.S. unemployment and underemployment rates, 2007-13

Figure 78: Number of employed civilians in U.S., in thousands, 2007-13

Food cost pressures

Figure 79: Changes in USDA Food Price Indexes, 2011-14

Obesity

Figure 80: American adults by weight category as determined by body mass index (BMI), 2008-June 20, 2013

Childhood and teen obesity - highest in decades

Figure 81: Prevalence of obesity among children and adolescents aged 2-19, 1971-2010

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Shifting U.S. demographics

Figure 82: U.S. population, by age, 2008-18

Figure 83: U.S. households, by presence of own children, 2002-12

Racial, ethnic population growth

Figure 84: U.S. population by race and Hispanic origin, 2008, 2013, and 2018

Figure 85: Households with children, by race and Hispanic origin of householder, 2012

Appendix – Social Media – Sugar and Sweeteners

Brand usage or awareness

Figure 86: Brand usage or awareness, July 2013

Figure 87: Domino sugar usage or awareness, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 88: Florida crystals usage or awareness, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 89: Truvia usage or awareness, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 90: Aunt Jemima usage or awareness, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 91: Mrs. Butterworth's usage or awareness, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 92: Splenda usage or awareness, by demographics, July 2013

Activities done

Figure 93: Activities done, July 2013, July 2013

Figure 94: Domino Sugar - Activities done, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 95: Truvia – Activities done, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 96: Aunt Jemima – Activities done, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 97: Mrs. Butterworth's - Activities Done, by demographics, July 2013

Figure 98: Splenda – Activities done, by demographics, July 2013

Online conversations

Figure 99: Online conversations on selected sugar and sweetener brands, by day Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Figure 100: Online conversations on selected sugar and sweetener brands, by page type, Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Figure 101: Types of conversations around selected sugar and sweetener brands, Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Figure 102: Types of conversations around selected sugar and sweetener brands, by day, Aug. 2, 2012-Aug. 1, 2013

Appendix – Other Useful Consumer Tables

Sugar and sweetener usage

Figure 103: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink, by gender, July 2013

Figure 104: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink, by household income, July 2013

Figure 105: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in baking/cooking, by household income, July 2013

Figure 106: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in food/drink, by household size, July 2013

Figure 107: Usage of sugar and sweeteners in baking/cooking, by race, July 2013

Use of sugar and sweeteners compared to a year ago

Figure 108: Usage of sugar and sweeteners compared to a year ago, by more/same amount, by gender, July 2013

Use of sugar/sweeteners away from home

Figure 109: Usage of sugar and sweeteners away from home, by household income, July 2013

Interest in sweetener products

BUY THIS REPORT NOW



Report Price: £2466.89 | \$3995.00 | €3133.71

The above prices are correct at the time of publication, but are subject to change due to currency fluctuations.

Figure 110: Interest in sweetener products, by gender, July 2013

Figure 111: Interest in sweetener products, by household income, July 2013

Brands used

Figure 112: Brands of sugar used in household, January 2012-March 2013

Figure 113: Brands of pancake and table syrups used in household, January 2012-March 2013

Figure 114: Brands of sugar substitutes/artificial sweeteners used in household, January 2012-March 2013

Appendix – Information Resources Inc. Builders Panel Data Definitions

Information Resources Inc. Consumer Network Metrics

Appendix – Trade Associations

BUY THIS REPORT NOW